arXiv:1210.2263v1 [hep-ph] 8 Oct 2012

PREPRINT-V1-10-2012

On Gauge Invariant Descriptions of Gluon Polarization

Zhi-Qiang Guo and Iván Schmidt

Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso,
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María,
Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

E-mail: zhiqiang.guo@usm.cl, ivan.schmidt@usm.cl

Abstract: We propose methods to construct gauge invariant decompositions of nucleon spin, especially gauge invariant descriptions of gluon polarization. We show that gauge invariant decompositions of nucleon spin can be derived naturally from the conserved current of a generalized Lorentzian transformation by Noether theorem. We also examine the problem of gauge dependence with a gauge invariant extension of the Chern-Simons current.

Keywords: Nucleon Spin, Gauge Invariant Decomposition

Contents

1 Gauge Invariant Extensions of the Chern-Simon Current 1

2 Gauge Invariant Decompositions from Noether Theorem 3

3 The Problem of Gauge Dependence 5

4 Discussions and Conclusions 7

1 Gauge Invariant Extensions of the Chern-Simon Current

How to formulate gauge independent descriptions of gluon polarization is an interesting theoretical problem. As first thought, because there is an anomalous equation for the axial-vector current

$$\partial_\mu(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi) = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}F^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}_a, \quad \tilde{F}^a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}_a, \tag{1.1}$$

the Chern-Simons current K^μ

$$K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}A^a_\nu(F^a_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{g}{3}f^{abc}A_{b\alpha}A_{c\beta}), \tag{1.2}$$

which satisfies the equation

$$\partial_\mu K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}F^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}_a, \tag{1.3}$$

could be an appropriate candidate of the descriptions of gluon polarization [1–3]. But an unpleasant character of the Chern-Simons current is that it is not invariant under large gauge transformations. Under a gauge transformation

$$A_\mu \rightarrow UA_\mu U^{-1} - \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

the Chern-Simons current transforms as

$$K_\mu \rightarrow K_\mu - \frac{2i}{g}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial^\alpha \mathrm{Tr}(U^{-1}\partial^\nu UA^\beta) - \frac{2}{3g^2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathrm{Tr}\{U^{-1}(\partial^\nu U)U^{-1}(\partial^\alpha U)U^{-1}(\partial^\beta U)\}, \tag{1.5}$$

which is not invariant if the gauge transformation is large. Therefore, the calculations with the Chern-Simons current are surrounded by the problem of gauge dependence [4–6]. However, a question is actually concealed in the course of the foregoing reasoning. The question is that we suppose the expression (1.2) is the unique solution of Eq. (1.3). So at present we could put forward the following question: Does there exist another expression of K^μ, which satisfies the Eq. (1.3)? The answer is Yes, as this can be seen from the Abelian case. For a Abelian $U(1)$ theory, the Chern-Simons current is

$$K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}A_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{1.6}$$

which satisfies the equation

$$\partial_\mu K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{1.7}$$

But the Eq. (1.6) is not the unique solution of Eq. (1.7). We can construct another expression as follows[1]

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}(A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}\phi). \tag{1.8}$$

Obviously, the expression (1.8) satisfies

$$\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{K}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{1.9}$$

and it has a remarkable property that it is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu}\rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu}\Lambda,\ \ \phi\rightarrow\phi+\Lambda. \tag{1.10}$$

The expression (1.8) can be thought as a gauge invariant extension of the Chern-Simons current (1.6). Utilizing the symbols of Chen et al. [7], we can make the identifications

$$A^{\mu}_{\text{pure}}=\partial^{\mu}\phi,\ \ A^{\mu}_{\text{phys}}=A^{\mu}-A^{\mu}_{\text{pure}}. \tag{1.11}$$

The foregoing constructions can be generalized to the non-Abelian case. We propose the following expressions for the non-Abelian case

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}A^{a\nu}_{\text{phys}}(F^{a\alpha\beta}-\frac{g}{3}f^{abc}A^{b\alpha}_{\text{phys}}A^{c\beta}_{\text{phys}}), \tag{1.12}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}-ig[A_{\mu},A_{\nu}]$ still remains the conventional expression, and

$$A^{\mu}_{\text{pure}}=\frac{i}{g}V^{-1}\partial^{\mu}V,\ \ A^{\mu}_{\text{phys}}=A^{\mu}-A^{\mu}_{\text{pure}}. \tag{1.13}$$

The expression (1.12) is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu}\rightarrow UA_{\mu}U^{-1}-\frac{i}{g}(\partial_{\mu}U)U^{-1},\ \ V\rightarrow VU^{-1}. \tag{1.14}$$

We can reformulate the expression (1.12) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{\mu}&=\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}(F^{\alpha\beta}A^{\nu}_{\text{phys}}+\frac{2i}{3}gA^{\nu}_{\text{phys}}A^{\alpha}_{\text{phys}}A^{\beta}_{\text{phys}}) &(1.15)\\ &=\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}(F^{\alpha\beta}A^{\nu}+\frac{2i}{3}gA^{\nu}A^{\alpha}A^{\beta})-\frac{2i}{3}g\text{Tr}(A^{\nu}_{\text{pure}}A^{\alpha}_{\text{pure}}A^{\beta}_{\text{pure}}) &(1.16)\\ &-2\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}(\partial^{\alpha}A^{\beta}A^{\nu}_{\text{pure}}-igA^{\nu}A^{\alpha}_{\text{pure}}A^{\beta}_{\text{pure}}). &(1.17)\end{aligned}$$

Employing the definition of the definition of A^{μ}_{pure} in Eq. (1.13), we can show that the terms of Eq. (1.17) can be combined to be a total divergence, then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{\mu}&=\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}(F^{\alpha\beta}A^{\nu}+\frac{2i}{3}gA^{\nu}A^{\alpha}A^{\beta})-\frac{2i}{g}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial^{\alpha}\text{Tr}[A^{\beta}V^{-1}\partial^{\nu}V]\\ &-\frac{2}{3g^{2}}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\text{Tr}\{V^{-1}(\partial^{\nu}V)V^{-1}(\partial^{\alpha}V)V^{-1}(\partial^{\beta}V)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

With Eq. (1.18), we can easily check that the expression (1.12) also satisfies

$$\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{K}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}F^{a}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}_{a}. \tag{1.19}$$

Therefore, a gauge invariant extension of non-Abelian Chern-Simons current can be constructed successfully. From Eq. (1.18), we see that the gauge invariant current includes the conventional Chern-Simons current and some terms contributed by the pure gauge field. These contributions from the pure gauge field may be related to the gluon topology as considered by Bass [8]. An problem to be solved is how to derive a manifest expressions for the pure gauge field. This problem has been highlighted from a more physical perspective by Chen et al. [7]. However, we noticed that Delbourgo and Thompson [9] and Verschelde et al. [10] has proposed manifest expressions for the pure gauge field through different grounds. By Delbourgo and Thompson [9], a pure gauge filed can be solved from the following equation

---

[1] X.-S. Chen has proposed such kind of constructions in a conference talk.

$$D_\mu[A^\mu - \frac{i}{g}U^{-1}\partial^\mu U] = 0, \;\; U = \exp(ig\varphi), \tag{1.20}$$

where $D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - ig[A_\alpha, \cdot\,]$. This kind of equations can be solved by a formal series. A manifest expressions for A^μ_{pure} can be given by

$$A^\mu_{\text{pure}} = \partial^\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial^\alpha A_\alpha + ig\partial^\mu \frac{1}{\partial^2}[A_\beta, \partial^\beta \frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial^\alpha A_\alpha] + \mathcal{O}(g^2). \tag{1.21}$$

Employing this manifest expression, we shall show that the gauge invariant currents (1.8) and (1.12) yield gauge-independent results in section 3.

2 Gauge Invariant Decompositions from Noether Theorem

In this section, we show that gauge invariant descriptions of gluon polarization can be derived naturally from the Noether theorem. We consider the Lagrangian of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) with the θ term,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu} - \theta\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}F^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{a\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - igA_\mu)\psi - (\partial_\mu\bar{\psi} + ig\bar{\psi}A_\mu)\gamma^\mu\psi].\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\hat{A}_\mu = UA_\mu U^{-1} - \frac{i}{g}\partial_\mu U U^{-1}, \;\; \hat{\psi} = U\psi, \tag{2.2}$$

and the Lorentzian transformation

$$\hat{A}_\mu(x') = \Lambda_\mu^{\;\nu}A_\nu(x), \;\; \hat{\psi}(x') = S[\Lambda]\psi(x). \tag{2.3}$$

However, as considered by Bjorken and Drell [11], by Weinberg [12] and recently more thoughtfully by Lorcé [13], the gauge field A_ν is not obligatory to be transformed as a Lorentzian vector, and the Lagrangian (2.1) is actually invariant under a more general Lorentzian transformation

$$\tilde{A}_\mu(\tilde{x}) = \Lambda_\mu^{\;\nu}[U(x)A_\nu(x)U^{-1}(x) - \frac{i}{g}\partial_\nu U(x)U^{-1}(x)], \tag{2.4}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{x}) = S[\Lambda]U(x)\psi(x), \;\; \tilde{x}_\mu = \Lambda_\mu^{\;\nu}x_\nu. \tag{2.5}$$

By Noether theorem, we know that a symmetry of a Lagrangian yields a conserved current. Now we have a new kind of symmetry expressed by Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), so we can expect that this new symmetry could yield some new kinds of conserved current. Under an infinitesimal Lorentzian transformation, we have

$$\tilde{x}_\mu = x_\mu + \delta x_\mu, \;\; \delta x_\mu = \omega_{\mu\nu}x^\nu, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$ are infinitesimal parameters. By Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), the fields transform as

$$\tilde{A}^\mu(x+\delta x) = \left[\delta^\mu_{\;\nu} - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\left(\mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta}\right)^\mu_{\;\;\nu}\right][U(x)A^\nu(x)U^{-1}(x) - \frac{i}{g}\partial^\nu U(x)U^{-1}(x)], \tag{2.7}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(x+\delta x) = [1 - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta}]U(x)\psi(x), \;\; \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x+\delta x) = \bar{\psi}(x)U^{-1}(x)[1 + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta}], \tag{2.8}$$

where $\left(\mathcal{J}^{\alpha\beta}\right)_{\mu\nu} = i\left(\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu}\delta^{\beta}_{\nu} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\nu}\delta^{\beta}_{\mu}\right)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}]$. For infinitesimal transformations, the unitary matrix $U(x)$ can be parameterized as

$$U(x) = \exp(igy_{\mu}N^{\mu}), \quad y_{\mu} = \delta x_{\mu} = \omega_{\mu\nu}x^{\nu}. \tag{2.9}$$

Here $N^{\mu}(x) = N^{a}_{\mu}(x)T^{a}$ are functions taking values in the generators of Lie algebra, whose meanings shall be uncovered later on. With this designation, Eq. (2.7) can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\mu}(x+\delta x) &= B_{\mu}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2), \qquad (2.10)\\ B_{\mu}(x) &= A_{\mu}(x) + \omega_{\mu\beta}\left(A^{\beta}(x) - N^{\beta}(x)\right) + y^{\beta}\{\partial_{\mu}N_{\beta}(x) - ig[A_{\mu}(x), N_{\beta}(x)]\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can define the variation $\Delta A_{\mu}(x) = \tilde{A}_{\mu}(x+\delta x) - A_{\mu}(x)$ and the variation at a single point $\delta A_{\mu}(x) = \tilde{A}_{\mu}(x) - A_{\mu}(x)$, then these two kinds of variations are related by

$$\Delta A_{\mu}(x) = \delta A_{\mu}(x) + \delta x^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}A_{\mu}(x). \tag{2.11}$$

By this equation, we can derive the field variation at a single point as

$$\delta A_{\mu}(x) = \Delta A_{\mu}(x) - \delta x^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}A_{\mu}(x), \tag{2.12}$$

$$= -y^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}A_{\mu}(x) + \omega_{\mu\beta}\left(A^{\beta}(x) - N^{\beta}(x)\right) + y^{\beta}\{\partial_{\mu}N_{\beta}(x) - ig[A_{\mu}(x), N_{\beta}(x)]\} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2), \tag{2.13}$$

which can be easily recombined into a more compact formulation

$$\delta A_{\mu}(x) = y^{\beta}F_{\mu\beta} + \omega_{\mu\beta}\left(A^{\beta} - N^{\beta}\right) - y^{\beta}\{\partial_{\mu}(A_{\beta} - N_{\beta}) - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\beta} - N_{\beta}]\} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2). \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, we derive the variation at a single point for fermion fields

$$\delta\psi(x) = \tilde{\psi}(x) - \psi(x) = -\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta}\psi(x) - y^{\beta}[\partial_{\beta} - igN_{\beta}]\psi(x) + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2), \tag{2.15}$$

$$\delta\bar{\psi}(x) = \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) - \bar{\psi}(x) = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}(x)\omega_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{S}^{\alpha\beta} - y^{\beta}[\partial_{\beta}\bar{\psi}(x) + ig\bar{\psi}(x)N_{\beta}] + \mathcal{O}(\omega^2). \tag{2.16}$$

For the infinitesimal transformations (2.6)-(2.8) and the Lagrangian (2.1), the Noether theorem asserts that

$$0 = \Delta = \partial_{\mu}(\delta x^{\mu}\mathcal{L}) + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\mu}}\delta A_{\mu} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu})}\delta(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}) \tag{2.17}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\psi}\delta\psi + \delta\bar{\psi}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\bar{\psi}} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi)}\delta(\partial_{\mu}\psi) + \delta(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi})\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi})}. \tag{2.18}$$

Employing the properties of variation at a single point $\delta(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}) = \partial_{\mu}\delta A_{\nu}$, $\delta(\partial_{\mu}\psi) = \partial_{\mu}\delta\psi$ and $\delta(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}) = \partial_{\mu}\delta\bar{\psi}$, Eq. (2.17) can be reformulated as

$$0 = \Delta = \partial_{\mu}\mathcal{J}^{\mu} + \mathcal{E}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu} = \delta x^{\mu}\mathcal{L} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu})}\delta A_{\nu} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi)}\delta\psi + \delta\bar{\psi}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi})}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\nu}} - \partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu})}\right]\delta A_{\nu} + \left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\psi} - \partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi)}\right]\delta\psi + \delta\bar{\psi}\left[\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\bar{\psi}} - \partial_{\mu}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi})}\right]. \tag{2.21}$$

By imposing Euler-Lagrange equations, we have $\mathcal{E} = 0$, then we obtain the conserved current

$$\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{J}^{\mu} = 0. \tag{2.22}$$

For the infinitesimal transformations (2.6), (2.14)-(2.16) and the Lagrangian (2.1), the current $\mathcal{J}^{\mu}$ can be calculated to be

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\alpha\beta}M^{\mu\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$M^{\mu\alpha\beta} = M^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{qs} + M^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{qo} + M^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{gs} + M^{\mu\alpha\beta}_{go}. \tag{2.24}$$

That is, the generators $M^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ can be divided into four parts naturally. The parts that can be identified to be quark spin and orbital angular momentums are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{qs}^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\rho}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\rho\gamma_5\psi, && (2.25) \\ M_{qo}^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= \frac{i}{2}[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu x^\alpha(\partial^\beta - igN^\beta)\psi - (\alpha \longleftrightarrow \beta)] + \text{H.C.} && (2.26) \\ &+ (\eta^{\mu\alpha}x^\beta - \eta^{\mu\beta}x^\alpha)\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}}, \end{aligned}$$

while the parts that can be identified to be gluon polarization and orbital angular momentums are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{gs}^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= -2\text{Tr}\left[F^{\mu\alpha}(A^\beta - N^\beta) - F^{\mu\beta}(A^\alpha - N^\alpha)\right], && (2.27) \\ M_{go}^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= 2\text{Tr}\left[F^\mu{}_\nu(F^{\nu\alpha}x^\beta - F^{\nu\beta}x^\alpha)\right] && (2.28) \\ &+ 2\text{Tr}\left[x^\beta F^\mu{}_\nu(\partial^\nu - igA^\nu)(A^\alpha - N^\alpha) - (\alpha \longleftrightarrow \beta)\right] \\ &+ (\eta^{\mu\alpha}x^\beta - \eta^{\mu\beta}x^\alpha)\mathcal{L}_{\text{gluon}}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quark}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gluon}}$ are the corresponding parts of the Lagrangian (2.1). In above calculations, we have let the θ term to be zero, because it does not yield interesting results. The effects of the field N^μ can be revealed now. For $N^\beta = 0$, we obtain the type of decomposition of Jaffe and Manohar [14]. For $N^\beta = A^\beta$, we obtain the type of decomposition of Ji [15]; A remarkable point is that the term (2.27), which describes the gluon polarization, vanishes in this case; This type of decomposition is also gauge invariant. For $N^\beta = A^\beta_{\text{pure}}$, we obtain a covariant version of the type of decompositions of Chen et al. [7]. Another point we should mention is that no surface terms are subtracted or added in the foregoing derivations. The expressions (2.25)-(2.28) are the straightforward results of Eqs. (2.14)-(2.16) and (2.20). Of course, a term $H^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ which satisfies $\partial_\mu H^{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0$ can be added into the definition of $M^{\mu\alpha\beta}$, which does not boil the conserved feature of the current $\mathcal{J}^\mu$ [16]. This kind of surface term can lead to the type of decompositions of Wakamatsu [17]. Even the gauge invariant extension of Chern-Simons current (1.12) can emerge by adding appropriate surface terms.

3 The Problem of Gauge Dependence

Despite of the superficial success of the foregoing gauge invariant constructions, a question that should be mentioned is whether these constructions really yields gauge-independent results. We focus on the Chern-Simons current, which is tractable because of the involved totally anti-symmetrical tensor. This question can be more easily addressed in the (1+1)-dimensional (2D) Schwinger model. The Lagrangian of 2D Schwinger model is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\psi, \tag{3.1}$$

which is a $U(1)$ gauge theory. Its Chern-Simons current is

$$K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\nu, \quad \partial_\mu K^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.2}$$

A gauge invariant extension of Eq. (3.2) is

$$\mathcal{K}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}(A_\nu - \partial_\nu\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\left(A_\nu - \partial_\nu\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial^\alpha A_\alpha\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Here we have employed Eq. (1.21) to express the pure gauge field manifestly. The 2D Schwinger model can be solved exactly by bosonization, that is, we can make the replacements

$$i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi, \quad \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi, \quad \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\partial^\mu\phi. \tag{3.4}$$

The calculations involved (3.3) can be most conveniently implemented in the Lorentzian gauge. However, following Manohar [4], we do calculations in the axial gauge, which here is a more persuasive argument for the gauge-dependence of the current (3.3). In the axial gauge $n_\mu A^\mu = 0$, we can solve the gauge field as

$$A^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} n_\nu \sigma. \tag{3.5}$$

By Eq. (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain the reduced Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + m\sigma n_\mu \partial^\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}\left[n_\mu\partial^\mu\sigma\right]^2. \tag{3.6}$$

Here $m = \frac{e}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ is the well known mass of the gauge field in Schwinger model. The propagators of the Lagrangian (3.6) can be derived by the functional method, which is explicitly in [4]. For the gauge field (3.5), the current $\mathcal{K}^\mu$ in Eq. (3.5) is

$$\mathcal{K}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\left(\varepsilon_{\nu\beta}n^\beta\sigma - \partial_\nu\frac{1}{\partial^2}n^\beta\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\alpha\sigma\right). \tag{3.7}$$

With the propagators in [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|T(\mathcal{K}^\mu\phi)|0\rangle &= \frac{im}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\left(\varepsilon_{\nu\beta}n^\beta - \frac{1}{q^2}q_\nu n^\beta\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}q^\alpha\right)\frac{1}{(n^\alpha q_\alpha)(q^2-m^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{im}{(n^\alpha q_\alpha)(q^2-m^2)}\frac{\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}q^\alpha}{q^2}(l_\nu q_\alpha - l_\alpha q_\nu), \quad l_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}n^\beta,\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which is gauge-dependent at first sight. But for any vectors a_μ and b_ν in 2D, we have

$$a_\mu b_\nu - a_\nu b_\mu = (\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}a_\beta b_\alpha)\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}, \quad \varepsilon^{\alpha\mu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\nu} = -\delta^\mu_\nu, \tag{3.9}$$

which means that

$$l_\nu q_\alpha - l_\alpha q_\nu = (\varepsilon^{\mu\beta}l_\beta q_\mu)\varepsilon_{\nu\alpha} = (\varepsilon^{\mu\beta}\varepsilon_{\beta\lambda}n^\lambda q_\mu)\varepsilon_{\nu\alpha} = (n^\beta q_\beta)\varepsilon_{\nu\alpha}. \tag{3.10}$$

This immediately leads to the gauge-independent result

$$\langle 0|T(\mathcal{K}^\mu\phi)|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\frac{im}{q^2(q^2-m^2)}q^\mu. \tag{3.11}$$

This means the matrix element

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{K}^\mu|\phi(q)\rangle = \lim_{q^2\to m^2}(q^2-m^2)\langle 0|T(\mathcal{K}^\mu\phi)|0\rangle = \frac{i}{2m}q^\mu, \tag{3.12}$$

which is well defined and gauge-independent.

The above results of Schwinger model suggest that the similar calculations in four dimensional QCD may be also well defined and gauge-independent. We try to calculate the forward matrix element of the current $\mathcal{K}^\mu$ in Eq. (1.12), in which the pure gauge field given by Eq. (1.21)

$$A^\mu_{\text{pure}} = \partial^\mu\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial^\alpha A_\alpha + \mathcal{O}(g). \tag{3.13}$$

We consider the forward matrix element between massive quark states $\langle p,s|\mathcal{K}^\mu|p,s\rangle$. For four dimensional QCD, we do the calculations in the Lorentzian gauge. Obviously, the one-loop calculation is independent of the gauge parameter ξ, because the physical field $A^\mu_{\text{phys}} = A^\mu - A^\mu_{\text{pure}} = A^\mu - \partial^\mu\frac{1}{\partial^2}\partial^\alpha A_\alpha + \mathcal{O}(g)$ is a transverse field. The calculation with the dimensional regularization yields

$$\langle p,s|\mathcal{K}^\mu|p,s\rangle = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left(\frac{7}{2}C_F + \frac{3}{2}C_F N_\epsilon\right)\bar{u}(p,s)\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 u(p,s), \tag{3.14}$$

where $\alpha_s = \frac{g^2}{4\pi}$, $C_F = \frac{N_c^2-1}{2N_c}$, $d = 4-2\epsilon$, $N_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \text{Log}4\pi + \text{Log}\frac{\mu^2}{M^2}$ and μ is the renormalization scale. We have used the on-shell relation $\gamma^\mu p_\mu u(p) = Mu(p)$ and $p^2 = M^2$.

4 Discussions and Conclusions

From the conventional lore, only the space-time components of fields are rotated under the Lorentzian transformation. But the situation changes when we consider fields with internal local freedoms. Under the Lorentzian transformation, the internal local freedoms and the space-time components of fields can all be rotated. This leads to a generalized Lorentzian symmetry, which yields a new kind of Noether current. This Noether current has the nice property that the induced decompositions can accommodate different decompositions of nucleon spin in a single framework. This new symmetry introduce a new field N^μ, whose effects, as proposed by Lorcé [13], is quite similar to the role of the Stueckelberg field [18]. Recently, by analyzing the Wigner distributions, Burkardt [19] proposed that the difference between the Jaffe-Manohar definition of quark orbital angular momentum and that of Ji can be understood as a torque acting on a quark. Tentatively, we can speculate that this torque may be caused by the field N^μ. Its effects could be uncovered along the similar way.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Project Basal under Contract No. FB0821 (Chile).

References

[1] G. Altarelli and G. G. Ross, "The Anomalous Gluon Contribution to Polarized Leptoproduction," *Phys. Lett.* **B 212** (1988) 391.

[2] R. D. Carlitz, J. C. Collins, and A. H. Mueller, "The Role of the Axial Anomaly in Measuring Spin Dependent Parton Distributions," *Phys. Lett.* **B214** (1988) 229.

[3] A. Efremov and O. Teryaev, "SPIN STRUCTURE OF THE NUCLEON AND TRIANGLE ANOMALY," *Nucl. Phys.* (1988) .

[4] A. V. Manohar, "Gauge dependence of the matrix elements of K(mu) in the Schwinger model," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 1663–1665.

[5] I. I. Balitsky and V. M. Braun, "Topological current K(mu) as a string operator," *Phys. Lett.* **B267** (1991) 405–410.

[6] G. Shore and B. White, "The Gauge invariant angular momentum sum rule for the proton," *Nucl. Phys.* **B581** (2000) 409–431, `arXiv:hep-ph/9912341 [hep-ph]`.

[7] X.-S. Chen, X.-F. Lu, W.-M. Sun, F. Wang, and T. Goldman, "Spin and orbital angular momentum in gauge theories: Nucleon spin structure and multipole radiation revisited," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 232002, `arXiv:0806.3166 [hep-ph]`.

[8] S. Bass, "A Polarized QCD condensate: Neutrino p elastic scattering as a probe of U(A) (1) dynamics," *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 13** (1998) 791–804, `arXiv:hep-ph/9712507 [hep-ph]`.

[9] R. Delbourgo and G. Thompson, "MASSIVE, UNITARY, RENORMALIZABLE YANG-MILLS THEORY WITHOUT HIGGS MESONS," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2610.

[10] H. Verschelde, K. Knecht, K. Van Acoleyen, and M. Vanderkelen, "The Nonperturbative groundstate of QCD and the local composite operator A(mu)**2," *Phys. Lett.* **B 516** (2001) 307–313, `arXiv:hep-th/0105018 [hep-th]`.

[11] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, "Relativistic quantum fields," *Mcgraw-Hill College* (1965) .

[12] S. Weinberg, "The Quantum Theory of Fields," *Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press* (1995) .

[13] C. Lorce, "Geometrical approach to the proton spin decomposition," `arXiv:1205.6483 [hep-ph]`.

[14] R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, "The G(1) Problem: Fact and Fantasy on the Spin of the Proton," *Nucl. Phys.* **B 337** (1990) 509–546.

[15] X.-D. Ji, "Gauge invariant decomposition of nucleon spin and its spin-off," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 610–613, `arXiv:hep-ph/9603249 [hep-ph]`.

[16] W. Pauli and F. Belinfante, "ON THE STATISTICAL BEHAVIOR OF KNOWN AND UNKNOWN ELEMENTARY PARTICLES," *Physica* **7** (1940) 177–192.

[17] M. Wakamatsu, "Gauge and frame-independent decomposition of nucleon spin," *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011) 014012, `arXiv:1007.5355 [hep-ph]`.

[18] E. C. G. Stueckelberg, "Interaction forces in electrodynamics and in the field theory of nuclear forces," *Helv. Phys. Acta* **11** (1938) 299–328.

[19] M. Burkardt, "Parton Orbital Angular Momentum," `arXiv:1205.2916 [hep-ph]`.